

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,812	12/24/2003	Mark Thomas Grimm	08350.2532	1718
22852 75	90 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			NORMAN, MARC E	
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-4413		3744	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		ر ا			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,812	GRIMM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc E. Norman	3744 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remained will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u> 6 March 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 December 2003</u>		] objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	21(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· ·	٠			
application from the International Bur		received in this Hational Otage	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicants arguments regarding the previous restriction requirement being improper have been fully considered, but are most in view of the newly constructed restriction requirement set forth below. The Examiner accepts that the restriction should have been based on subcombinations usable together rather than on an election of species.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 and 26-34, drawn to controlling either a cooling circuit or secondary circuit when an ambient temperature is outside a desired ambient temperature range.
- II. Claims 10-25, drawn to a heating circuit in fluid contact with the secondary circuit.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as in an air treatment system that does not include a heating circuit in fluid contact with the secondary circuit. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,812 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER